



## King's Research Portal

DOI:

[10.1017/S0029665116002810](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0029665116002810)

*Document Version*

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication record in King's Research Portal](#)

*Citation for published version (APA):*

Menni, C. (2017). Lessons on dietary biomarkers from twin studies. *Proceedings of the Nutrition Society*, 1-5. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0029665116002810>

### **Citing this paper**

Please note that where the full-text provided on King's Research Portal is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Post-Print version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version for pagination, volume/issue, and date of publication details. And where the final published version is provided on the Research Portal, if citing you are again advised to check the publisher's website for any subsequent corrections.

### **General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognize and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Research Portal

### **Take down policy**

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact [librarypure@kcl.ac.uk](mailto:librarypure@kcl.ac.uk) providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



Nutrition Society Summer Meeting 2016 held at University College, Dublin on 11–14 July 2016

## Conference on 'New technology in nutrition research and practice' Symposium 2: Use of biomarkers in dietary assessment and dietary exposure

### Lessons on dietary biomarkers from twin studies

Cristina Menni

Department of Twin Research and Genetic Epidemiology, King's College London, St Thomas' Hospital Campus, 4th Floor South Wing Block D, Westminster Bridge Road, London SE1 7EH, UK

Metabolomic and microbiome profiling are promising tools to identify biomarkers of food intake and health status. The individual's genetic makeup plays a significant role on health, metabolism, gut microbes and diet and twin studies provide unique opportunities to untangle gene–environment effects on complex phenotypes. This brief review discusses the value of twin studies in nutrition research with a particular focus on metabolomics and the gut microbiome. Although, the twin model is a powerful tool to segregate the genetic component, to date, very few studies combine the twin design and metabolomics/microbiome in nutritional sciences. Moreover, since the individual's diet has a strong influence on the microbiome composition and the gut microbiome is modifiable (60 % of microbiome diversity is due to the environment), future studies should target the microbiome via dietary interventions.

#### Metabolomics: Gut microbiome: Dietary biomarkers: Twins

*'Twins history affords means of distinguishing between the effects of tendencies received at birth, and those that were imposed by the circumstances of their after lives; in other words between the effects of nature and of nurture'*

Galton 1875

More than a century after Galton's observation, twin studies remain an invaluable tool to determine the role of genes and environment on human development and behaviour. Classical twin studies compare the trait concordance between monozygotic (MZ) and dizygotic (DZ) twin pairs to estimate to what extent the observed phenotype difference is genetically determined<sup>(1)</sup>. The assumption is that MZ twins share 100 % of their genes as they originate from a single zygote and are therefore genetic clones, whereas DZ twins originate from two separate eggs and thus share 50 % of their genes. If MZ twins are more alike than DZ twins with respect to the trait, then the trait is said to be influenced by genes, otherwise

the trait is said to be determined by lifestyle and environment.

More formally, the twin phenotypic variance (i.e. individual differences of a trait) can be divided into three sources of variation: additive genetic variance ( $A$ ), shared/common environmental variance ( $C$ ) and non-shared/unique environmental variance ( $E$ )<sup>(2)</sup>. Additive genetic influences represent the sum of the effects of the individual alleles at all loci that influence the trait. The common environmental component estimates the contribution of family environment, which is assumed to be equal in both MZ and DZ twin pairs<sup>(3)</sup>, whereas the unique environmental component does not contribute to twin similarity, rather it estimates the effects that apply only to each individual including measurement error. Heritability ( $h^2$ ) is defined as the proportion of the phenotypic variation attributable to genetic factors<sup>(4)</sup>, and is given by the equation,  $h^2 = (A)/(A + C + E)$ .  $A$

**Abbreviations:** DZ, dizygotic; MZ, monozygotic.

**Corresponding author:** C. Menni, email [cristina.menni@kcl.ac.uk](mailto:cristina.menni@kcl.ac.uk)

number of assumptions underlie the twin study. These include: (i) MZ and DZ twin pairs share 100 % of their common environment; (ii) twins are representative of the general population; (iii) gene–environment interactions are minimal for the trait under study; (iv) there is random assortment<sup>(5)</sup>.

Twin studies have shown that the genetic make-up of individuals plays a significant role in a multitude of dietary phenotypes, including energy, macronutrient intakes, dietary patterns and specific food groups as extensively reviewed<sup>(6,7)</sup>. Heritability estimates of different energy and macronutrient intakes range between 8 and 70 %, while both ‘healthy’ and ‘unhealthy’ diet patterns are relatively stable in adults and have heritability ranging from 33 to 50–54 %<sup>(7)</sup>. Specific foods intakes, including garlic (46 %), fruit and vegetables (49 %), and coffee (41 %) are also highly heritable in adults<sup>(8)</sup>. A recent study from our group identified four major food-liking patterns (fruit and vegetables, distinctive tastes, sweet and high carbohydrate, and meat) accounting for 26 % of the total variance with heritability estimates ranging from 36 to 58 % indicating genetic factors influence food liking–disliking<sup>(9)</sup>.

Besides estimating heritability of dietary assessment, using MZ twins discordant for dietary factor/nutrition status provides a naturally unique case-controlled experiment of assessing the links between diet and human biology. Due to their shared upbringing, including shared fetal exposure, matched genes and sex, MZ twins allow one to isolate the non-genetic contribution.

Diet is partially responsible for the dramatic rise in obesity<sup>(10)</sup> and obesity-related diseases, such as type 2 diabetes<sup>(11)</sup>; however, studies do not consistently support associations between dietary intakes and disease endpoints. Dietary intakes in epidemiological settings are generally measured via self-reported dietary assessment methods that are subject to recall bias and measurement error<sup>(12,13)</sup>. Nutritional epidemiological studies could be improved by the use of food intake biomarkers to better capture exposure.

This brief review discusses the value of twin studies in nutrition research with a particular focus on metabolomics and the gut microbiome.

### Metabolomics

Metabolomic profiling has the potential to identify biomarkers of food intake<sup>(14)</sup>. Metabolomics is a new high-throughput technology that measures endogenous metabolites in cells, tissues and other biosamples such as blood, urine and saliva<sup>(15)</sup>. These metabolites provide a direct signature of the biochemical activities of the individual at a particular time, reflecting the metabolic effects of nutritional intake, physical activities and environmental exposures as well as the biological pathways associated with diet-related diseases including obesity, CVD and type 2 diabetes<sup>(16–19)</sup>. Metabolites have been proven to be of use in nutritional research and novel dietary biomarkers within the metabolome have been identified<sup>(20–23)</sup>. However, as the individual genetic makeup

influences the levels of metabolites, explaining up to 81 % of the variation in their blood levels<sup>(24–27)</sup>, the twin model can be employed to estimate the nutritional impact on metabolites, segregating the genetic component.

To date there are relatively few studies that combine a twin study design and metabolomics in nutritional sciences. Studies from TwinsUK have successfully identified potential biomarkers of alcohol intake<sup>(28)</sup> including lysophosphatidylcholines, diethyl ether lipids, diacylphosphatidylcholines and sphingolipids, as well as biomarkers of self-reported dietary patterns<sup>(22)</sup>, food preference patterns<sup>(9)</sup> and self-reported food intakes<sup>(29,30)</sup>.

Taking advantage of the twin nature of the TwinsUK data, we first looked for association in the larger twin population excluding MZ twins discordant for a nutritional intake. Then, for each significant metabolite–dietary variable we run the same analysis on the discordant MZ twin pairs to replicate results in this set.

Using a targeted metabolomics approach (Bioacres platform; 163 metabolites), we identified forty-two dietary pattern–metabolite significant associations in 1003 female twins and successfully replicated eleven associations in a subcohort of MZ twin discordant for dietary intake (between twenty-eight and forty discordant twins independent from the first analysis)<sup>(22)</sup>. The strongest associations were observed for fruit and vegetables intake with the glycerophospholipid phosphatidylcholine diacyl C<sub>38</sub>:6 and with the sphingolipid sphingomyelin C<sub>26</sub>:1. Significant associations were also found with coffee and garlic intake and for hypoenergetic dieting.

In a more recent study from our group, we looked for association between 601 blood metabolites (456 measured with the untargeted Metabolon platform and 145 measured with Biocrates) and seventy-one reported food intakes from FFQ in 3500 female twins to look for novel biomarkers of nutrition intake. We identified 180 associations with thirty-nine food group after meta-analysing the discovery and replication cohort (MZ discordant), overall consisting of 106 different metabolites, mainly lipids, including seventy-three novel associations<sup>(30)</sup>. In particular, we identified the amino-acid *trans*-4-hydroxyproline, a component of collagen, as a novel biomarker for red meat intake; ergothioneine as a potential marker of mushroom intake and two metabolites derived from the gut bacteria transformation of phenolic compounds as biomarkers of fruit intake. All the findings are compiled into the open access DietMetab database ([www.twinsuk.ac.uk/dietmetab-data](http://www.twinsuk.ac.uk/dietmetab-data)).

Using a similar design and replicating in two independent European populations, we have also identified four novel biomarkers of milk intake: trimethyl-*N*-aminovalerate, uridine, hydroxysphingomyelin C<sub>14</sub>:1 and diacylphosphatidylcholine C<sub>28</sub>:1<sup>(29)</sup>.

### Microbiome

The study of the gut microbiome is an exciting area of medicine because of its immediate potential for therapeutic interventions<sup>(31)</sup>. The term microbiome describes the DNA material of microbial communities within an

animal and recent advances in technology are revealing its complexity<sup>(32)</sup>. Human subjects have about 100 trillion gut microbes that outnumber their cells ten to one<sup>(33)</sup>. Human subjects and their microbiome have co-evolved and live intimately in a symbiotic relationship<sup>(34)</sup> with the microbes producing a wide range of enzymes, chemicals, hormones and vitamins that can potentially interact with the host<sup>(31)</sup>. The composition of the microbiome varies by anatomical site, with the primary determinant of community composition being anatomical location<sup>(34)</sup>. Diversity measures the number of microbes present at a particular site<sup>(35)</sup>. The  $\alpha$  diversity refers to the number of different species at a particular site<sup>(36)</sup>, while  $\beta$  diversity considers how many taxa are shared between populations, acting as a similarity score<sup>(37)</sup>. A low diversity of gut microbes (sometimes called dysbiosis) has been implicated in many human diseases including CVD, obesity, inflammatory bowel disease, colitis and type 2 diabetes<sup>(38–46)</sup>. Only recently, thanks to genetic sequencing have we been able to study microbes properly and realise that the vast majority are not harmful and many are beneficial<sup>(31)</sup>. Research has shown that the largest influence on the gut microbiome comes from diet<sup>(47)</sup> and hence we could potentially alter many diseases with food and monitor the effects via microbes. For instance, dietary fibre is fermented in the intestine by the colonic microbiota resulting in increased production of SCFA<sup>(48)</sup>. SCFA are involved in the energy metabolism as they regulate the balance between fatty acid synthesis, fatty acid oxidation, and lipolysis in the body. A reduction in the concentrations of free fatty acids in plasma results in a decrease in body weight<sup>(48)</sup>.

Studies so far on food and the microbiome have mainly focused on meat-based/plant-based diet and highlighted differences in the microbiota of plant- and animal-based diets<sup>(47,49,50–52)</sup>. In particular, they have demonstrated that human gut responds rapidly to major dietary changes<sup>(53)</sup>. A meat-based diet, rich in animal protein, fats, artificial additives, and lacking in fibre, has been shown to drive chronic conditions such as obesity, the metabolic syndrome and atherosclerosis by encouraging gut dysbiosis. Dietary interventional studies, however, have shown that the effects of meat-based diets on the gut microbiome could be rapidly reversed by adopting a plant-based, minimally processed diet<sup>(54,55)</sup>. Long-term dietary habits, however, tend to determine the composition of the gut microbiota with studies showing that long-term dietary habits associated with the individual microbiome signature<sup>(47)</sup>. Also, changes in diet are dependent on the individual gut microbiota composition suggesting that not everyone reacts the same to the same dietary change<sup>(51)</sup>.

Moreover, the way in which individuals respond to dietary interventions may be complicated by host genetics and so the twin model could be a valuable tool to study the association of the gut microbiome with nutritional phenotypes. Novel twin data shows that although many microbes are driven by environment, a substantial proportion of microbiota with disease associations have a heritable component<sup>(56,57)</sup>. The most heritable taxon, the *Christensenella* family was shown to be associated with

leanness in human subjects and prevents weight gain under high-fat diet in mice<sup>(56)</sup>.

Using discordant twins, we have also successfully identified a striking negative association between frailty due to ageing and gut microbiota diversity<sup>(58)</sup> as well as a significant alteration in the gut microbiota in proton pump inhibitors users<sup>(59)</sup>. In general, therefore, a more diverse gut microbiome signals a healthier state and this is modified by age, diet and use of medication.

## Conclusions

In conclusion, twin research is an invaluable tool to untangle gene–environment effects on complex phenotypes including metabolomics, the gut microbiome and complex phenotypes such as obesity and diet related diseases. Indeed, twins have provided valuable evidence that diet is influenced by genetics suggesting that future dietary counselling should target this domain.

Metabolomics and microbiomics have the potential to identify biomarkers of food intake and health status. Combining these omics with twin data allows the separation of features influenced by genetics from those influenced by the environment. This supports the efficient longitudinal monitoring of individuals at high genetic risk as they progress from health to disease making this model an invaluable means of testing personalised medicine strategies.

Finally, because the gut microbiome is modifiable (60 % of microbiome diversity is due to the environment) and since an individual's diet has a strong influence on the microbiome composition, future studies should target the microbiome via dietary interventions.

## Financial Support

TwinsUK was funded by the Wellcome Trust; European Community's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007–2013). The study also receives support from the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Clinical Research Facility at Guy's & St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust and NIHR Biomedical Research Centre based at Guy's and St. Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust and King's College London. C. M. is funded by the MRC AimHY (MR/M016560/1) grant.

## Conflicts of Interest

None.

## Authorship

C. M. was solely responsible for all aspects of preparation of the present paper.

## References

1. van Dongen J, Slagboom PE, Draisma HH *et al.* (2012) The continuing value of twin studies in the omics era. *Nat Rev Genet* **13**, 640–653.



2. Neale M & Cardon L (1992) *Methodology for Genetic Studies of Twins and Families*. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
3. Kyvic K (2000) Generalisability and assumptions of twin studies. In *Advances in Twin and Sib-pair Analysis*, pp. 67–77 [TD Spector, H Sneider and AJ MacGregor, editors]. London: Greenwich Medical Media.
4. Visscher PM, Hill WG & Wray NR (2008) Heritability in the genomics era—concepts and misconceptions. *Nat Rev Genet* **9**, 255–266.
5. Rijdsdijk FV & Sham PC (2002) Analytic approaches to twin data using structural equation models. *Brief Bioinformatics* **3**, 9–13.
6. Packard CJ, O'Reilly DS, Caslake MJ *et al.* (2000) Lipoprotein-associated phospholipase A2 as an independent predictor of coronary heart disease. West of Scotland Coronary Prevention Study Group. *N Engl J Med* **343**, 1148–1155.
7. Pallister T, Spector TD & Menni C (2014) Twin studies advance the understanding of gene-environment interplay in human nutrigenomics. *Nutr Res Rev* **27**, 242–251.
8. Teucher B, Skinner J, Skidmore PM *et al.* (2007) Dietary patterns and heritability of food choice in a UK female twin cohort. *Twin Res Hum Genet* **10**, 734–748.
9. Pallister T, Sharafi M, Lachance G *et al.* (2015) Food preference patterns in a UK Twin cohort. *Twin Res Hum Genet* **18**, 793–805.
10. Swinburn BA, Caterson I, Seidell JC *et al.* (2004) Diet, nutrition and the prevention of excess weight gain and obesity. *Public Health Nutr* **7**, 123–146.
11. Ley SH, Pan A, Li Y *et al.* (2016) Changes in overall diet quality and subsequent Type 2 diabetes risk: three U.S. prospective cohorts. *Diab Care* (Epublication ahead of print version).
12. Freedman LS, Schatzkin A & Wax Y (1990) The impact of dietary measurement error on planning sample size required in a cohort study. *Am J Epidemiol* **132**, 1185–1195.
13. Kaaks RJ (1997) Biochemical markers as additional measurements in studies of the accuracy of dietary questionnaire measurements: conceptual issues. *Am J Clin Nutr* **65**, 1232S–1239S.
14. Oresic M (2009) Metabolomics, a novel tool for studies of nutrition, metabolism and lipid dysfunction. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis* **19**, 816–824.
15. Nicholson JK & Lindon JC (2008) Systems biology: metabolomics. *Nature* **455**, 1054–1056.
16. Suhre K, Meisinger C, Doring A *et al.* (2010) Metabolic footprint of diabetes: a multiplatform metabolomics study in an epidemiological setting. *PLoS ONE* **5**, e13953.
17. Menni C, Fauman E, Erte I *et al.* (2013) Biomarkers for type 2 diabetes and impaired fasting glucose using a nontargeted metabolomics approach. *Diabetes* **62**, 4270–4276.
18. Menni C, Graham D, Kastenmuller G *et al.* (2015) Metabolomic identification of a novel pathway of blood pressure regulation involving hexadecanedioate. *Hypertension* **66**, 422–429.
19. Menni C, Mangino M, Cecelja M *et al.* (2015) Metabolomic study of carotid-femoral pulse-wave velocity in women. *J Hypertens* **33**, 791–796.
20. Altmaier E, Kastenmuller G, Romisch-Margl W *et al.* (2011) Questionnaire-based self-reported nutrition habits associate with serum metabolism as revealed by quantitative targeted metabolomics. *Eur J Epidemiol* **26**, 145–156.
21. O'Sullivan A, Gibney MJ & Brennan L (2011) Dietary intake patterns are reflected in metabolomic profiles: potential role in dietary assessment studies. *Am J Clin Nutr* **93**, 314–321.
22. Menni C, Zhai G, Macgregor A *et al.* (2013) Targeted metabolomics profiles are strongly correlated with nutritional patterns in women. *Metabolomics* **9**, 506–514.
23. Scalbert A, Brennan L, Manach C *et al.* (2014) The food metabolome: a window over dietary exposure. *Am J Clin Nutr* **99**, 1286–1308.
24. Illig T, Gieger C, Zhai G *et al.* (2010) A genome-wide perspective of genetic variation in human metabolism. *Nat Genet* **42**, 137–141.
25. Suhre K, Shin SY, Petersen AK *et al.* (2011) Human metabolic individuality in biomedical and pharmaceutical research. *Nature* **477**, 54–60.
26. Kettunen J, Tukiainen T, Sarin AP *et al.* (2012) Genome-wide association study identifies multiple loci influencing human serum metabolite levels. *Nat Genet* **44**, 269–276.
27. Shin SY, Fauman EB, Petersen AK *et al.* (2014) An atlas of genetic influences on human blood metabolites. *Nat Genet* **46**, 543–550.
28. Jaremek M, Yu Z, Mangino M *et al.* (2013) Alcohol-induced metabolomic differences in humans. *Transl Psychiatry* **3**, e276.
29. Pallister T, Haller T, Thorand B *et al.* (2016) Metabolites of milk intake: a metabolomic approach in UK twins with findings replicated in two European cohorts. *Eur J Nutr* (Epublication ahead of print version).
30. Pallister T, Jennings A, Mohny RP *et al.* (2016) Characterizing blood metabolomics profiles associated with self-reported food intakes in female twins. *PLoS ONE* **11**, e0158568.
31. Marchesi JR, Adams DH, Fava F *et al.* (2016) The gut microbiota and host health: a new clinical frontier. *Gut* **65**, 330–339.
32. Lepage P, Leclerc MC, Joossens M *et al.* (2013) A metagenomic insight into our gut's microbiome. *Gut* **62**, 146–158.
33. Turnbaugh PJ, Ley RE, Hamady M *et al.* (2007) The human microbiome project. *Nature* **449**, 804–810.
34. Ley RE, Peterson DA & Gordon JI (2006) Ecological and evolutionary forces shaping microbial diversity in the human intestine. *Cell* **124**, 837–848.
35. (2012) Structure, function and diversity of the healthy human microbiome. *Nature* **486**, 207–214.
36. Jurasinski G, Retzer V & Beierkuhnlein C (2009) Inventory, differentiation, and proportional diversity: a consistent terminology for quantifying species diversity. *Oecologia* **159**, 15–26.
37. Leprieux F, Albouy C, De Bortoli J *et al.* (2012) Quantifying phylogenetic beta diversity: distinguishing between 'true' turnover of lineages and phylogenetic diversity gradients. *PLoS ONE* **7**, e42760.
38. Turnbaugh PJ, Hamady M, Yatsunenko T *et al.* (2009) A core gut microbiome in obese and lean twins. *Nature* **457**, 480–484.
39. Howitt MR & Garrett WS (2012) A complex microworld in the gut: gut microbiota and cardiovascular disease connectivity. *Nat Med* **18**, 1188–1189.
40. Knights D, Lassen KG & Xavier RJ (2013) Advances in inflammatory bowel disease pathogenesis: linking host genetics and the microbiome. *Gut* **62**, 1505–1510.
41. Tang WH, Wang Z, Levison BS *et al.* (2013) Intestinal microbial metabolism of phosphatidylcholine and cardiovascular risk. *N Engl J Med* **368**, 1575–1584.
42. Huttenhower C, Knight R, Brown CT *et al.* (2014) Advancing the microbiome research community. *Cell* **159**, 227–230.
43. Kostic AD, Xavier RJ & Gevers D (2014) The microbiome in inflammatory bowel disease: current status and the future ahead. *Gastroenterology* **146**, 1489–1499.



44. Qin HY, Cheng CW, Tang XD *et al.* (2014) Impact of psychological stress on irritable bowel syndrome. *World J Gastroenterol* **20**, 14126–14131.
45. Hartstra AV, Bouter KE, Backhed F *et al.* (2015) Insights into the role of the microbiome in obesity and type 2 diabetes. *Diab Care* **38**, 159–165.
46. Patterson E, Ryan PM, Cryan JF *et al.* (2016) Gut microbiota, obesity and diabetes. *Postgrad Med J* **92**, 286–300.
47. Wu GD, Chen J, Hoffmann C *et al.* (2011) Linking long-term dietary patterns with gut microbial enterotypes. *Science* **334**, 105–108.
48. Kasubuchi M, Hasegawa S, Hiramatsu T *et al.* (2015) Dietary gut microbial metabolites, short-chain fatty acids, and host metabolic regulation. *Nutrients* **7**, 2839–2849.
49. Buddington RK, Williams CH, Kostek BM *et al.* (2010) Maternal-to-infant transmission of probiotics: concept validation in mice, rats, and pigs. *Neonatology* **97**, 250–256.
50. De Filippo C, Cavalieri D, Di Paola M *et al.* (2010) Impact of diet in shaping gut microbiota revealed by a comparative study in children from Europe and rural Africa. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **107**, 14691–14696.
51. David LA, Maurice CF, Carmody RN *et al.* (2014) Diet rapidly and reproducibly alters the human gut microbiome. *Nature* **505**, 559–563.
52. Hirakawa M, Arase Y, Amakawa K *et al.* (2015) Relationship between alcohol intake and risk factors for metabolic syndrome in men. *Intern Med* **54**, 2139–2145.
53. Sonnenburg JL & Backhed F (2016) Diet-microbiota interactions as moderators of human metabolism. *Nature* **535**, 56–64.
54. Ward RE, Ninonuevo M, Mills DA *et al.* (2006) *In vitro* fermentation of breast milk oligosaccharides by bifidobacterium infantis and *Lactobacillus gasseri*. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **72**, 4497–4499.
55. Sela DA & Mills DA (2010) Nursing our microbiota: molecular linkages between bifidobacteria and milk oligosaccharides. *Trends Microbiol* **18**, 298–307.
56. Goodrich JK, Waters JL, Poole AC *et al.* (2014) Human genetics shape the gut microbiome. *Cell* **159**, 789–799.
57. Goodrich JK, Davenport ER, Beaumont M *et al.* (2016) Genetic determinants of the gut microbiome in UK Twins. *Cell Host Microbe* **19**, 731–743.
58. Jackson M, Jeffery IB, Beaumont M *et al.* (2016) Signatures of early frailty in the gut microbiota. *Genome Med* **8**, 8.
59. Jackson MA, Goodrich JK, Maxan ME *et al.* (2016) Proton pump inhibitors alter the composition of the gut microbiota. *Gut* **65**, 749–756.